



Kaipara te Orangahui • Two Oceans Two Harbours

## Submission Form (Form 5)

## Submission on

## Proposed Kaipara District Plan

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Date received:	Kaipara District Council
Submitter ID:	30 JUN 2025
RECEIVED	

Form 5: Submissions on a Publicly Notified Proposed District Plan under Clause 6 of Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991

### Return your signed submission by Monday 30 June 2025 via:

**Email:** [districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz](mailto:districtplanreview@kaipara.govt.nz) (subject line: Proposed District Plan Submission)  
**Post:** District Planning Team, Kaipara District Council, Private Bag 1001, Dargaville, 0340  
**In person:** Kaipara District Council, 32 Hokianga Road, Dargaville; or  
Kaipara District Council, 6 Molesworth Drive, Mangawhai

If you would prefer to complete your submission online, from 28 April 2025 please visit:  
[www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan](http://www.kaipara.govt.nz/kaipara-district-plan-review/proposed-district-plan)

All sections of this form need to be completed for your submission to be accepted. Your submission will be checked for completeness, and you may be contacted to fill in any missing information.

Full Name: LORRAINE - ROGER GUY Phone: 022044 2409  
09 439 7271

Organisation\*: \_\_\_\_\_

\* the organisation that this submission is made on behalf of

Email: rogerguy@xtra.co.nz

Postal Address: 33 Ripiro Drive, RD7 0377  
Dargaville Postcode: V

Address for Service: name, email and postal address (if different from above):  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

### Trade Competition

Pursuant to Schedule 1 of the Resource Management Act 1991, a person who could gain an advantage in trade competition through the submission may make a submission only if directly affected by an effect of the proposed policy statement or plan that:

- a) adversely affects the environment; and
- b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

### Please tick the sentence that applies to you:

- ☐ I could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission; or  
☐ I could gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.

☐ If you have ticked this box please select one of the following:

- ☐ I am directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission  
☐ I am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission  
☐

Signature: L.E. Guy, R.B. Dwyer Date: 29/6/2025

(Signature of person making submission or person authorised to sign on behalf of person making the submission)

Please note: all information contained in a submission under the Resource Management Act 1991, including names and addresses for service, becomes public information.

- ☐ I do not wish to be heard in support of my submission; or  
☒ I do wish to be heard in support of my submission; and if so,  
☒ I would be prepared to consider presenting my submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearing

(1) The specific provisions of the Proposed Plan that my submission relates to are:		(2) My submission is that: <i>(include whether you support or oppose the specific provisions or wish to have them amended and the reasons for your views.)</i>		(3) I seek the following decisions from Kaipara District Council.  <i>(Please give precise details for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand your concerns.)</i>
Chapter/ Appendix/ Schedule/Maps	objective/policy/ rule/standard/ overlay	Oppose/support (in part or full)	Reasons	
Maps Baylys Beach.		Oppose in Part Size of	We don't feel the need of bigger size increase for residential	Water supply is <u>very</u> inadequate now - being on restrictions most of summer. ①
		both residential	Is more than twice the size of Baylys Residential <u>existing size.</u>	Would need a system that <u>could meet demand</u>
		e Commercial Areas.	Proposed commercial rezoning is huge area.	Concerns re stormwater runoff if new developments went ahead. ②
		Don't see the need for an area this big as we only 10 mins from town, cannot imagine more than a couple of cafes required - which 3 sections would suffice		Our property is one of the 5 original sections subdivided on Ripiro Drive. It appears that infrastructure did not provide for stormwater runoff - as all seems to run down to neighboring sections being lower

Add further pages as required – please initial any additional pages.

(Also septic tank  
system would have to  
be addressed)

The 600m lots proposed are  
very small, & that many lots  
would impact runoff going  
down towards beach